arXiv:1405.6928v2 [math.MG] 6 Jun 2015

Quasi-periodic tiling with multiplicity: a lattice enumeration approach

Swee Hong Chan*

June 9, 2015

Abstract

The k-tiling problem for a convex polytope P is the problem of covering $\mathbb{R}^d$ with translates of P using a discrete multiset Λ of translation vectors, such that every point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is covered exactly k times, except possibly for the boundary of P and its translates. A classical result in the study of tiling problems is a theorem of McMullen [McM80] that a convex polytope P that 1-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ can, in fact, 1-tile $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a lattice $\mathcal{L}$. A generalization of McMullen's theorem for k-tiling was conjectured by Gravin, Robins, and Shiryaev [GRS12], which states that if P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, then P $m-$tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ for some m. In this paper, we consider the case when P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ such that every element of Λ is contained in a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$ (i.e. a finite union of translated lattices). This is motivated by the result of Gravin, Kolountzakis, Robins, and Shiryaev [Kol00, GKRS13], showing that for $d \in \{2, 3\}$, if a polytope P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$ for some m. Here we show for all values of d that if a polytope P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ that is contained in a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$ that satisfies a mild hypothesis, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ for some m. This strengthens the results of Gravin, Kolountzakis, Robins, and Shiryaev, and is a step in the direction of proving the conjecture of Gravin et al. [GRS12].

Keywords: Multiple tilings; Tilings; Lattices; Lattice enumeration, Quasi-periodicity.
Subclass: 52C22

1 Introduction

The multiple tiling problem can be described as follows: cover every point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ exactly k times by translates of a convex polytope using a discrete multiset Λ (also known as a **tiling set**) of translation vectors. However, in the process of trying to cover every point

*Department of Mathematics, 310 Malott Hall, Cornell University,
Ithaca, NY 14853-4201 USA
Tel: (607) 255-4013 Fax: (607) 255-7149
sc2637@cornell.edu

in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we may be forced to cover points in the boundary of its translates for more than k times. To avoid this technicality, we say that P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ if every point that does not belong to the boundary of any translate of P is covered exactly k times. We call a polytope P that satisfies the condition above a **k-tiler**. In the special case when k is equal to 1, the multiple tiling problem becomes what is traditionally known as the translational tiling problem. For more details on the translational tiling problem, the reader is referred to [Ale05, Gru07, KM10] for a nice overview of the topic.

The translational tiling problem is a classical topic in discrete geometry with several beautiful structural results. In 1897, Minkowski [Min97] gave a necessary condition for a polytope to be a 1-tiler. He proved that if a convex polytope P 1-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$, then P must be centrally symmetric and all facets of P must be centrally symmetric. It was not until 50 years later that Venkov [Ven54] found a necessary and sufficient condition for a polytope P to be a 1-tiler. He showed that P 1-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ if and only if P is centrally symmetric, all facets of P are centrally symmetric, and each belt of P contains four or six facets. The same result was later rediscovered independently by McMullen [McM80] in 1980.

In contrast to the situation for 1-tilers, there are still a lot of unsolved problems on the structure of k-tilers. This is partly because k-tilers have a much richer structure compared to 1-tilers. For example, in two dimensions there are only two types of convex polytopes that 1-tile $\mathbb{R}^2$, namely centrally symmetric parallelograms and centrally symmetric hexagons. In contrast, all centrally symmetric integer polygons are k-tilers in $\mathbb{R}^2$ [GRS12]. With that being said, there are several important results for multiple tiling that mirror the results for 1-tiling. In 1994, Bolle [Bol94] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a polytope to k-tile $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a lattice, and in 2012, Gravin, Robins, and Shiryaev [GRS12] proved that a k-tiler in $\mathbb{R}^d$ must be centrally symmetric and all its facets must be centrally symmetric, providing a multiple tiling analogue for Minkowski's condition.

The main motivation for this paper comes from a classical result of McMullen [McM80] that if a convex polytope P 1-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, then P can, in fact, 1-tile $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a lattice $\mathcal{L}$. A generalization of McMullen's theorem for k-tiling was conjectured by Gravin, Robins, and Shiryaev [GRS12], and is stated below:

Conjecture 1.1. [GRS12, Conjecture 7.3] *If a convex polytope P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ for some m (not necessarily equal to k).*

There has been some recent progress on Conjecture 1.1 in lower dimensions. We say that a set $\mathcal{Q}$ is a **quasi-periodic set** if $\mathcal{Q}$ is a finite union of translated lattices, not necessarily of the same lattice. Kolountzakis [Kol00] showed that if P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a discrete multiset Λ, then P can m-tile $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a quasi-periodic set for some m. Kolountzakis' result was later extended by Shiryaev [Shi14], who proved Conjecture 1.1 when d is equal to 2. For the case when d is equal to 3, Gravin, Kolountzakis, Robins, and Shiryaev [GKRS13] showed that every k-tiler P in $\mathbb{R}^3$ can m-tile $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a quasi-periodic set for some m. Motivated by the results of [Kol00] and [GKRS13], we focus here on studying the **quasi-periodic tiling** problem for general dimensions, which is the multiple tiling problem with an additional assumption that every element of the tiling multiset Λ is contained in a quasi-periodic set (note that the multiset Λ is not necessarily a quasi-periodic set).

We approach the quasi-periodic tiling problem by studying an equivalent lattice-point enumeration problem, which will be described in Section 3. This approach allows us to em-

ploy several tools from lattice-point enumeration that are otherwise not available for general multiple tiling problems. For more details regarding discrete-point enumeration of polytopes, the reader is referred to the work of Beck and Robins [BR07] and Barvinok [Bar02].

Throughout this paper, we will use two different notions for **general positions**, one for vectors and one for lattices. Let P be a a fixed convex polytope and let ∂P denote the boundary of P. We say that a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is in **general position** with respect to a discrete multiset Λ if v is not contained in $\partial P + \Lambda$, the union of translates of boundary of P by Λ. The second notion is defined for lattices in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a union of n translated lattices $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n$. We say that $\mathcal{L}_i$ is in **general position** with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$ if the set $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus H_i$ is path-connected, where H_i is defined as:

$$H_i := \bigcup_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} (\partial P + \mathcal{L}_i) \cap (\partial P + \mathcal{L}_j). \tag{1}$$

We will refer to the hypothesis that $\mathcal{L}_i$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$ as Hypothesis 1.

The first result in this paper is that if Hypothesis 1 holds, then Conjecture 1.1 is true.

Theorem 1.2. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, and suppose that every element of Λ is contained in a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$. If a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{Q}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{L} \cap \Lambda$ is non-empty, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathcal{L}$ for some m.*

If the quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$ in Theorem 1.2 is also a lattice, then the lattice $\mathcal{Q}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$ by definition, and Theorem 1.2 gives us the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ. If every element of Λ is contained in a lattice $\mathcal{L}$, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathcal{L}$ for some m.* □

We note that Theorem 1.2 will fail to hold if the hypothesis that $\mathcal{L}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$ is omitted. In Example 1, we present a a polytope P that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$ that contains a lattice $\mathcal{L}$, and yet $\mathcal{L}$ is not a tiling set of P.

The fact that Hypothesis 1 cannot be omitted from Theorem 1.2 naturally leads us to consider the case where every lattice in $\mathcal{Q}$ is not in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$. In Section 5 we address this scenario for the case where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a union of two translated copies of a lattice, and we show that Conjecture 1.1 holds in this case.

Theorem 1.4. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ. If every element of Λ is contained in a union of two translated copies of one single lattice, then there is a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathcal{L}$ for some m.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce definitions and notations used in this paper. We use Section 3 to establish the connection between the k-tiling problem and a lattice-point enumeration problem. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2, and Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4. Finally, in Section 6 we discuss possible future research that can be done to prove Conjecture 1.1.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we use P to denote a convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\text{Int}(P)$ to denote the interior of P, and ∂P to denote the boundary of P (the closure of P minus the interior of P). We note that there is no loss in generality in assuming that P is a convex polytope, because every convex body that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ is necessarily a polytope [McM80].

We use Λ to denote a discrete multiset of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathcal{L}$ to denote a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\mathcal{Q}$ to denote a **quasi-periodic set**, which is a finite union of translated lattices, not necessarily of the same lattice. We use $\#(A)$ to denote the cardinality of a finite multiset A (counted with multiplicities). The intersection of a multiset A and a set S, denoted by $A \cap S$, is the multiset that contains all elements a in A that are also contained in S. The multiplicity of an element a in $A \cap S$ is equal to the multiplicity of a in A. The complement of a set S with respect to a multiset A, denoted by $A \setminus S$, is the set $A \cap S^c$.

A convex polytope P is said to **k-tile** $\mathbb{R}^d$ (k being a positive natural number) with a discrete multiset Λ of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ if

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{P+\lambda}(v) = k, \tag{2}$$

for all $v \notin \partial P + \Lambda$, where $\mathbf{1}_X$ is the indicator function of the set X.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mathfrak{h}$ is a fixed vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that every line with direction vector $\mathfrak{h}$ meets ∂P at finitely many points. The **half-open** counterpart $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ of a convex polytope P is the subset of the closure of P that contains all points $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ which satisfies the property that for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_v > 0$, the ray $r_{\epsilon_v} := \{v + c\mathfrak{h} \mid 0 < c < \epsilon_v\}$ is contained in $\text{Int}(P)$. Note that $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ consists of $\text{Int}(P)$ and a part of ∂P. In the particular case when P is a cube, the polytope $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the half-open cube defined in [Sta91].

For a a discrete multiset Λ and a convex polytope P in $\mathbb{R}^d$, the **Λ-point enumerator** of P is the integer $\#(\Lambda \cap P)$, which is the number of points of Λ (counted with multiplicities) contained in P. When Λ is a lattice, we refer to $\#(\Lambda \cap P)$ as a lattice-point enumerator. We define two integer-valued functions, L_Λ and $L_\Lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$, on every point v in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as follows:

$$L_\Lambda(v) := \#(\Lambda \cap \{-1 \cdot P + v\}),\ L_\Lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}(v) := \#(\Lambda \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v\}),$$

i.e. $L_\Lambda(v)$ is the number of points of Λ contained in the translate of $-1 \cdot P$ by v, and $L_\Lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}(v)$ is the number of points of Λ contained in the translate of $-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ by v. If the intended multiset Λ is evident from the context, we will use L and $L^{\mathfrak{h}}$ as a shorthand for L_Λ and $L_\Lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$, respectively. These two functions play an important role in relating the multiple tiling problem to the lattice-point enumeration problem, which is discussed in Section 3.

3 Lattice-point enumeration of polytopes

In this section, we present a lattice-point enumeration problem that is equivalent to k-tiling problem. This equivalence was first shown in [GRS12], where it was employed to show that all rational k-tilers can m-tile with a lattice for some m. However, we replace the polytope P by its half-open counterpart $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ in the statement of the equivalence. This is done so that we can drop the technical condition in the equivalence concerning vectors in general position.

Lemma 3.1. *A d-dimensional convex polytope P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ if and only if its half-open counterpart $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ $k-$tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ. Moreover, if $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ, then*

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{P^{\mathfrak{h}}+\lambda}(v) = k, \tag{3}$$

for all v in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. Note that P and $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ have the same interior, which implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{P^{\mathfrak{h}}+\lambda}(v) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{P+\lambda}(v),$$

for all $v \notin \partial P + \Lambda$. Therefore, P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ if and only if $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ.

To prove the second part of the claim, let v be an arbitrary point in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By our assumption on $\mathfrak{h}$, the ray $r_{\epsilon_v} = \{v + c\mathfrak{h} \mid 0 < c < \epsilon_v\}$ intersects $\partial P + \Lambda$ at finitely many points. Hence for a sufficiently small $\epsilon_v > 0$, the ray r_{ϵ_v} does not intersect $\partial P + \Lambda$. Because $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$(and hence P) k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ, this implies that there are exactly k vectors $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ in Λ such that r_{ϵ_v} is contained in the interior of $P + \lambda_i$ for all i. By the definition of half-open polytopes in Section 2, this means that v is contained in $P^{\mathfrak{h}} + \lambda_i$ for all i, and hence we have:

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{P^{\mathfrak{h}}+\lambda}(v) = k,$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. □ □

The lemma below was shown in [GRS12] for the case when $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is in general position with respect to Λ; our proof is virtually identical, with a minor adjustment for the case when P is replaced by $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Lemma 3.2. (c.f. [GRS12, Lemma 3.1]) *A convex polytope P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ if and only $L_\Lambda(v)$ is equal to k for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that is in general position with respect to Λ. Moreover, $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ if and only $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_\Lambda(v)$ is equal to k for every v in $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. For every v in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we can write

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{P^{\mathfrak{h}}+\lambda}(v) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{-1\cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}}+v}(\lambda) = \#(\Lambda \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v\}) = L_\Lambda(v).$$

By Equation 3 in Lemma 3.1, this implies that $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ if and only if $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_\Lambda(v)$ is equal to k for every v in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By a similar argument, Equation 2 in the definition of k-tilers implies that P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ if and only if $L_\Lambda(v)$ is equal to k for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that is in general position with respect to Λ. □ □

Here we list two properties of the function L_Λ that will be used throughout this paper:

1. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$, then the function $L_\mathcal{L}$ is a periodic function of $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e. $L_\mathcal{L}(v + \lambda) = L_\mathcal{L}(v)$ for every v in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and every λ in $\mathcal{L}$). This is because a lattice-point enumerator is invariant under translation by elements contained in the lattice.

2. The function L_Λ is a constant function in a sufficiently small neighborhood B_v of v in $\mathbb{R}^d$ if v is in general position with respect to Λ. This is because if $v \notin \partial P + \Lambda$, then $-1 \cdot P + v$ does not contain any points from Λ in its boundary. Hence moving v in any sufficiently small direction will not change the value of $L_\Lambda(v)$.

It can be easily checked that the two properties above also hold for the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_\Lambda$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We start this section by proving a functional equation involving the function L_Λ. The proof borrows several ideas from asymptotic analysis of infinite sums in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If every element of Λ is contained in the finite union $\bigcup_{i=1}^n a_i + \mathcal{L}$, then there are non-negative real numbers $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i \cdot L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{L}}(v - a_i) = k, \tag{4}$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^d$. For a real vector $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_d)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we use $\mathbb{R}^d_{\geq w}$ to denote the set $\{(w'_1, \ldots, w'_d) : w'_i \geq w_i \text{ for } i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\}$. We use $\Lambda_{\geq 0}$ to denote the multiset $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0}$.

Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be an arbitrary vector. Because $P^{\mathfrak{h}}$ k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ, this implies that there is a vector $\alpha(v) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that every point in $\mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}$ is covered exactly k times by $P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v + \Lambda_{\geq 0}$. Also notice that because $\Lambda_{\geq 0}$ is in the positive orthant, there is a vector $\beta(v)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v + \Lambda_{\geq 0}$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \beta(v)}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that both $\alpha(v)$ and $\beta(v)$ are integer vectors.

Let $\Gamma(v)$ be the multiset $(P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v + \Lambda_{\geq 0}) \setminus \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}$. Because $P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v + \Lambda_{\geq 0}$ covers every point in $\mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}$ exactly k times, we have the following equality:

$$\sum_{\substack{x \in P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v + \Lambda_{\geq 0}, \\ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} z^x = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}} k z^x + \sum_{x \in \Gamma(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z^x, \tag{5}$$

where z^x is the multivariable polynomial $z_1^{x_1} \ldots z_d^{x_d}$ and x is an integer vector $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. We assume $|z_j| < 1$ so that all the sums in Equation 5 converge to a well-defined value.

We define the multisets Λ_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ recursively by $\Lambda_i := (\Lambda_{\geq 0} \cap \{a_i + \mathbb{Z}^d\}) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \Lambda_j$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Note that $\mathbf{1}_{\Lambda_{\geq 0}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda_i}$, and every element of $\Lambda_i - a_i$ is contained in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. With this notation, Equation 5 now becomes

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}} k z^x + \sum_{x \in \Gamma(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z^x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{x \in P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v + \Lambda_i, \\ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} z^x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\substack{x \in P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v + a_i, \\ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} z^x \cdot \sum_{y \in \Lambda_i - a_i} z^y \right) \tag{6}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \#(\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \{P^{\mathfrak{h}} + a_i + v\}) \cdot \sum_{y \in \Lambda_i - a_i} z^y. \tag{7}$$

Let $s_i(z) := \sum_{y \in \Lambda_i - a_i} z^y \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j)$. By multiplying Equation 7 with $\prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j)$, we obtain that:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}} kz^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) + \sum_{x \in \Gamma(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \#(\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \{P^{\mathfrak{h}} + a_i + v\}) \cdot s_i(z) \quad (8)$$

We now show that the left side of Equation 8 converges to k as z converges to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ from below.

First note that every element in $\Gamma(v)$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \beta(v)} \setminus \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}$, and every element of $\Gamma(v)$ has multiplicity at most k. Also note that $\mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \beta(v)} \setminus \mathbb{R}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}$ is contained in the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} R_i(v)$, where $R_i(v)$ is the set

$$R_i(v) := \{(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \ : \ \beta(v)_i \leq a_i < \alpha(v)_i \text{ and } \beta(v)_j \leq a_j \text{ for all } j \neq i, \ 1 \leq j \leq d \ \}.$$

Because $|z_i| < 1$ for all i, we have the following closed-form expressions:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}} z^x = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{z_j^{\alpha(v)_j}}{1-z_j}; \quad \sum_{x \in R_i(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z^x = (1 - z_i^{\alpha(v)_i - \beta(v)_i}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{z_j^{\beta(v)_j}}{1-z_j}. \quad (9)$$

Because $\Gamma(v)$ is contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} R_i(v)$ and each element in $\Gamma(v)$ has multiplicity at most k, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \to (1,\ldots,1)^-} \sum_{x \in \Gamma(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) &\leq \lim_{z \to (1,\ldots,1)^-} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{x \in (R_i(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)} kz^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) \\ &= \lim_{z \to (1,\ldots,1)^-} \sum_{i=1}^{d} k(1 - z_i^{\alpha(v)_i - \beta(v)_i}) z^{\beta(v)} = 0. \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Combining Equation 9 and Equation 10, we get:

$$\lim_{z \to (1,\ldots,1)^-} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}} kz^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) + \sum_{x \in \Gamma(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) = k, \quad (11)$$

which shows that the left side of Equation 8 converges to k as z converges to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ from below. Note that if $\lim_{z \to (1,\ldots,1)^-} s_i(z)$ exists for all i, then taking the limit of Equation 8 as z converges to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ from below will give us Equation 4, and the proof will be done. However, the limit of $s_i(z)$ does not always exist, and hence we need a more subtle approach to derive Equation 4.

Since $|z_i| < 1$ for all i, we have that $s_i(z)$ is a positive real number for all i. Also note that the multiplicity of every element in Λ_i can not exceed k, and every element of $\Lambda_i - a_i$ is

contained in $\mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq -a_i}$. These facts allow us to derive the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} s_i(z) = \sum_{y \in \Lambda_i - a_i} z^y \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) &\leq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq -a_i}} kz^y \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) \\ &= kz^{[-a_i]} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1-z_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-z_j) \\ &= kz^{[-a_i]}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of the real vector x in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Note that as z converges to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ from below, the right side of Equation 12 is bounded from above by $k+1$. Hence as z converges to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ from below, the value of $s_i(z)$ is bounded between 0 and $k+1$. The Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem [BS92] then implies that there exists a sequence $(\mathfrak{z}_u)_{u \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ from below and with the property that $\lim_{u \to \infty} s_i(\mathfrak{z}_u)$ exists for all i.

Let $g_i := \lim_{u \to \infty} s_i(\mathfrak{z}_u)$ for all i, note that g_i is non-negative because $s_i(\mathfrak{z}_u)$ is a positive real number for all $u \in \mathbb{N}$. Also note that the definition of $s_i(z)$ does not involve v, and hence each g_i is a constant that is independent from the choice of v. By substituting $\mathfrak{z}_u$ into Equation 8 and then taking the limit as u goes to infinity, we get the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{n} \#(\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \{P^{\mathfrak{h}} + a_i + v\}) \cdot \lim_{u \to \infty} s_i(\mathfrak{z}_u) \\ = &\lim_{u \to \infty} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq \alpha(v)}} k\mathfrak{z}_u^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-\mathfrak{z}_{uj}) + \sum_{x \in \Gamma(v) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathfrak{z}_u^x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-\mathfrak{z}_{uj}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting Equation 11 into the right side of the equation above, we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \#(\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \{P^{\mathfrak{h}} + a_i + v\}) \cdot g_i = k. \tag{13}$$

To get Equation 4 from Equation 13, note that

$$\#(\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \{P^{\mathfrak{h}} + a_i + v\}) = \#(-1 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} - a_i - v)\}) = L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(-a_i - v). \tag{14}$$

Substituting Equation 14 into the left side of Equation 13, we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda}(-a_i - v) \cdot g_i = k. \tag{15}$$

As the choice of $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is arbitrary, we can replace v in Equation 15 by $-v$ to get Equation 4 and the proof is now complete. □ □

Remark. *The proof of Lemma 4.1 can be made much shorter if we use the stronger assumption that Λ is equal to the disjoint union of finitely many translates of one lattice. Indeed, in*

this case, we have:

$$k = \#(\Lambda \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \#(\{a_i + \mathcal{L}\} \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v\})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \#(\mathcal{L} \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v - a_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(v - a_i),$$

for all v in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark. *The proof of Lemma 4.1 no longer works if we use the weaker assumption that every element of Λ is contained in a quasi-periodic set, because the original assumption is essential for deriving Equation 6 from Equation 5.*

Now we show that the value $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ in Lemma 4.1 can, in fact, be chosen to be rational numbers with some value m on the right side of Equation 4, which gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If every element of Λ is contained in the finite union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \mathcal{L}$, then P m-tiles in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for some m with a finite union of copies of the lattices $a_1 + \mathcal{L}$, , $\ldots$, $a_n + \mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, there are non-negative real numbers $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i \cdot L_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(v - a_i) = k, \tag{16}$$

for all vectors v in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For an arbitrary v and w in $\mathbb{R}^d$, let $l_i(v, w)$ be the integer

$$l_i(v, w) := L_{\mathcal{L}}^{h}(v - a_i) - L_{\mathcal{L}}^{h}(w - a_i),$$

and let V be the vector space in $\mathbb{R}^n$ spanned by the following set of vectors:

$$\{(l_1(v, w), l_2(v, w), \ldots, l_n(v, w)) \ : \ v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

Note that by Equation 16, the vector $(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ is contained in the orthogonal complement $V^{\perp}$ of V, and hence $V^{\perp}$ contains a non-zero non-negative vector. Also note that V are generated by integer vectors, and hence V and $V^{\perp}$ have a basis of integer vectors. These two facts imply that there is a non-negative non-zero integer vector $(g'_1, \ldots g'_n)$ that is contained in $V^{\perp}$. By the construction of the vector space V, the statement that $(g'_1, \ldots g'_n)$ is orthogonal to V is equivalent to the following equation:

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'_i \cdot \#(\mathcal{L} \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v - a_1\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g'_i \cdot \#(a_i + \mathcal{L} \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v\}), \tag{17}$$

for some positive integer m and for all v in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By Lemma 3.2 this implies that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the union of the translated lattices $a_1 + \mathcal{L}$, , $\ldots$, $a_n + \mathcal{L}$, where each element of $a_i + \mathcal{L}$ has multiplicity g'_i. □ □

In the case when all elements of Λ are contained in a lattice, Theorem 4.2 gives us the following corollary:

Corollary 1.3. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ. If every element of Λ is contained in a lattice $\mathcal{L}$, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathcal{L}$ for some m.* □

We now present a technical lemma that allows us to reduce Theorem 1.2 to the situation where all elements of Λ are contained in a lattice, so that we can apply Corollary 1.3 to prove Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.3. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, and suppose that Λ is the disjoint union of two discrete multisets Λ_1 and Λ_2. If the set $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$ is path-connected and Λ_1 is non-empty, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ_1 for some m.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the fact that P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \sqcup \Lambda_2$ implies that

$$L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(v) + L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_2}(v) = L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda}(v) = k, \tag{18}$$

for all v in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Let v_1 and v_2 be two points in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$. Because $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$ is path-connected, there is a path $\mathfrak{P} : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ starting at v_1 and ending at v_2 such that $\mathfrak{P}$ does not contain points from $(\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$. We claim that the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathfrak{P}(x))$ remains constant x goes from 0 to 1.

Suppose to the contrary that $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathfrak{P}(x))$ is not a constant function. This means that there is $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathfrak{P}(x))$ is not constant in every open neighborhood of α. Because $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$ is not contained in $(\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$, either one of the following scenarios will hold:

- $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$ is not contained in $\partial P + \Lambda_1$. This means that $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$ is in general position with respect to Λ_1. By Property 2 in Section 3, the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}$ is constant in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$, contradicting the assumption on α.
- $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$ is not contained in $\partial P + \Lambda_2$. This means that $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$ is in general position with respect to Λ_2. By Property 2 in Section 3, the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_2}$ is constant in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$. By Equation 18 we have $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1} = k - L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_2}$, and hence $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}$ is also a constant function in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha)$, contradicting to the assumption on α.

Hence $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(v)$ has a constant value m for all v in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$.

We now show that m is a positive integer. Because Λ_1 is non-empty, we have $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda}(v_1) = \#(\Lambda \cap \{-1 \cdot P^{\mathfrak{h}} + v_1\})$ is positive for some v_1 in an open set B of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Because the set $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^d$, there exists $v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$ that is also contained in B. This implies that $m = L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(v_2) = L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(v_1) > 0$, and hence m is a positive integer.

Now let $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a vector in general position with respect to Λ_1. By Property 2 in Section 3, the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(v)$ is a constant function in an open neighborhood B_v of v in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Because

the set $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^d$, there exists w in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap (\partial P + \Lambda_2)$ that is contained in B_v. By the argument above, this implies that $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(v) = L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(w) = m$. Because the choice of v is arbitrary, this implies that $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\Lambda_1}(v) = m$ for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that is in general position with respect to Λ_1. By Lemma 3.2, we conclude that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ_1. □ □

We now proceed to prove Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, and suppose that every element of Λ is contained in a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$. If a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ in $\mathcal{Q}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{L} \cap \Lambda$ is non-empty, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathcal{L}$ for some m.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{L}_i$ is a lattice instead of a translate of a lattice. Let $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda \cap \mathcal{L}_i$ and $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1$. We have that Λ is a disjoint union of Λ_1 and Λ_2, and by the distributive law the set $H = (\partial P + \Lambda_1) \cap \partial(P + \Lambda_2)$ is contained in H_i (where H_i is as defined in Equation 1). Because $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus H_i$ is path-connected by the assumption that $\mathcal{L}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$, this implies that $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus H$ is path-connected. Also note that by assumption Λ_1 is a non-empty multiset. Hence by Lemma 4.3 P m'-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Λ_1 for some m'. Because every element of Λ_1 is contained in $\mathcal{L}_i$, we conclude that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathcal{L}_i$ for some m by Corollary 1.3 . □ □

Note that the assumption that the lattice in Theorem 1.2 is in general position can not be omitted from the statement of the theorem, as seen in Example 1 below.

Example 1. *Let P be a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $(0,0), (0,\frac{1}{2}), (1,0), (1,\frac{1}{2})$ as vertices. Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ be the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$, let $\mathcal{L}_2$ be the translated lattice $(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) + \mathbb{Z}^2$, and let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$. It can be seen from Figure 4 that P 1-tiles $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathcal{Q}$, but P does not m-tile $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathcal{L}_1$ or $\mathcal{L}_2$ for any m. Also notice that $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are not in general position to $\mathcal{Q}$, as the sets $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus H_1 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus H_2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : \ x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are not path-connected.*

5 Quasi-periodic tiling without Hypothesis 1

In this section, we discuss quasi-periodic tiling in the situation when Hypothesis 1 is omitted. As we observed from Example 1, the condition that a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is in general position with respect to the quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$ can not be dropped. However, this does not preclude the possibility that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ for some m with some lattice $\mathcal{L}$ that is not contained in $\mathcal{Q}$. For example, the rectangle P in Example 1 can 2-tile $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the lattice $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, even though $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \times \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ is not contained in $\mathcal{Q}$. In the next theorem we present an approach to construct such a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ for the case when $\mathcal{Q}$ is a union of two translated copies of a lattice.

Theorem 1.4. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ. Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ be translates of one single lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If every element in Λ is contained in $\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with some lattice $\mathcal{L}$ for some m.*

Figure 1: A rectangle P that 1-tiles $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$, but does not m-tile with $\mathcal{L}_1$ or $\mathcal{L}_2$.



Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = a + \mathbb{Z}^d$ for some a in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that a is a rational vector, let N be the least common multiple of the denominator of entries of a. Note that both $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $a + \mathbb{Z}^d$ are now contained $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$, and by Corollary 1.3 we have P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$ for some m. Hence we can assume that a is not a rational vector.

By permuting the coordinates, we can assume that $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_{k+1}, \ldots, \beta_d)$, where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are irrational numbers linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\beta_{k+1}, \ldots, \beta_d$ are contained in $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Because a is not a rational vector, we have $k \geq 1$. Because β_i is contained in $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, d\}$, there exists $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\beta_i = c_{i,1}\alpha_1 + \ldots + c_{i,k}\alpha_k + c_{i,k+1}$. Let N be the least common multiple of the denominators of $c_{i,j}$, where $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Note that β_i is contained in $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, 1 \rangle_{(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d}$ for all $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, d\}$.

In the rest of this proof, we will use $L^{\mathfrak{h}}$ as a shorthand for $L^{\mathfrak{h}}_{(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d}$. By Lemma 4.1, we have the following equation:

$$g_1 \cdot L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v) + g_2 \cdot L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v - a) = k, \tag{19}$$

for some non-negative real numbers g_1 and g_2 and for all v in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $g_1 = 0$, then $L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v-a) = \frac{k}{g_2}$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By Lemma 3.2, this implies that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$ for $m = \frac{k}{g_2}$ and the claim is proved. By symmetry we get the same conclusion for when $g_2 = 0$. Hence we can assume that both g_1 and g_2 are non-zero.

Let $L_j = L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v - a \cdot j) - \frac{k}{g_1+g_2}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting v in Equation 19 with $v - a \cdot j$, we get the following relation for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$L_j g_1 + L_{j+1} g_2 = 0, \tag{20}$$

and we can without loss of generality assume that $g_2 \leq g_1$.

First, suppose that $g_2 < g_1$. Note that by Equation 20 we have $L_0 = (-\frac{g_2}{g_1})^j L_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a periodic function by Property 1 in Section 3,

which implies that $L_j(v)$ (which is equal to $L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v-a)-\frac{k}{g_1+g_2}$) is a bounded function. Because L_j is bounded and $g_2 < g_1$, we have

$$L_0 = \lim_{j\to\infty} \left(-\frac{g_2}{g_1}\right)^j L_j = 0.$$

This implies that $L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v) = \frac{k}{g_1+g_2}$ for all v in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and by Lemma 3.2 we have that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$ for $m = \frac{k}{g_1+g_2}$, and the claim is proved.

Now suppose that $g_1 = g_2$. We claim that $L_{2j+1} = L_0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that if the claim holds, then we can conclude that $L_0 = L_1$ (because $L_{2j+1} = L_1$ and $L_{2j} = L_0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Equation 20). Because we also have $L_0 + L_1 = 0$ by Equation 20, this implies that $L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v) - \frac{k}{g_1+g_2} = L_0 = 0$. By Lemma 3.2, we can then conclude that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$ for $m = \frac{k}{g_1+g_2}$, and the claim is proved.

For any two points w and w' in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and a constant $\epsilon > 0$, we say that w is ϵ-close to w' modulo $\mathbb{Z}^d$ if $|w - w' + \lambda| < \epsilon$ for some λ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $a' \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be the vector $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$, where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are irrational numbers defined in the beginning of the proof. We claim that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(2j+1) \cdot a'$ is ϵ-close to 0 modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$. To prove this claim, we use a powerful tool from number theory called the Weyl criterion for the multidimensional case.

We say that a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^k$ is dense modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ if for any point w in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and a constant $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number j such that w is ϵ-close to x_j modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$.

Theorem 5.1. (weak form of Weyl criterion, [KN74, Theorem 6.2]) *Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^k$. The sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is dense modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ if for every lattice point $h \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, $h \neq 0$,*

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{M} e^{2\pi i \langle h, x_n\rangle} = 0.$$

□

Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence defined by $x_n = 2n \cdot a'$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are irrational numbers that are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, we have that $\langle h, a'\rangle$ is not equal to 0 for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Hence the limit of the sum in the Weyl criterion is equal to

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{M} e^{2\pi i \langle h, x_n\rangle} = \lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{M} e^{4n\pi i \langle h, a'\rangle} = \lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{e^{4\pi i \langle h, a'\rangle}(1 - e^{4M\pi i \langle h, a'\rangle})}{1 - e^{4\pi i \langle h, a'\rangle}} = 0.$$

Hence the Weyl criterion implies that the sequence $(2n \cdot a')_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is dense modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$. In the particular case when $w = -a'$, we have that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $-a'$ is ϵ-close to $2j \cdot a'$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$. Hence we conclude that $(2j+1) \cdot a'$ is ϵ-close to 0 modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$.

Because $(2j+1) \cdot a'$ is ϵ-close to 0 modulo $\mathbb{Z}^k$, this implies that $(2j+1)\alpha_1, \ldots, (2j+1)\alpha_k$ are all ϵ-close to an integer. Because β_i is contained in $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, 1\rangle_{(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d}$, this also implies that $(2j+1)\beta_i$ is $O(\epsilon)$-close to $\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$ for $i \in \{k+1, \ldots, d\}$. Hence we conclude that we can find an odd number $2j+1$ such that $(2j+1) \cdot a$ is $O(\epsilon)$-close to $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$.

We will now show that $L_{2j+1} = L_0$. Because we assume that $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is in general position with respect to $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$, by Property 2 Section 3 there is a sufficiently small open neighborhood B_v of v such that $L^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a constant function in B_v. By our previous argument, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an odd number $2j+1$ such that $(2j+1) \cdot a$ is $O(\epsilon)$-close to $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$. By choosing a sufficiently small ϵ, we conclude that $v - (2j+1) \cdot a$ is contained in B_v modulo $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$. Because the function $L^{\mathfrak{h}}$ has period $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$ (Property 1 Section 3), this implies that $L_{2j+1} = L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v - (2j+1) \cdot a) = L^{\mathfrak{h}}(v) = L_0$, and the proof is complete. □ □

Remark. *The proof of Theorem 1.4 can not be altered in any way to show that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathbb{Z}^d$ instead of $(\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z})^d$. This can be seen from Example 1, where the rectangle in the example does not m-tile $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathbb{Z}^2$ for any m.*

6 Future research

We conclude this paper by discussing possible future research problems that may lead to a proof of Conjecture 1.1.

Problem 1. *Let P be a convex polytope that k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ, and suppose that every element of Λ is contained in a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$. Prove or disprove that P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a lattice $\mathcal{L}$ for some m.*

Problem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 1.2 by removing Hypothesis 1. A more specific question to ask is whether Problem 1 has a positive answer when $\mathcal{Q}$ is a finite union of translates of a single lattice. A positive answer to this specific problem is given by Theorem 1.4 in the case where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a union of two translates of a single lattice.

Problem 2. *Prove or disprove that if a convex polytope P k-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$, then P m-tiles $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete multiset Λ that is contained in a quasi-periodic set $\mathcal{Q}$ for some m.*

For dimension 2 and 3, Problem 2 was positively answered by [Kol00] and [GKRS13] respectively. This problem is open for dimensions higher than 3.

In particular, a positive answer to both Problem 1 and Problem 2 will imply that Conjecture 1.1 is true.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Sinai Robins for his advice and helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper and for introducing the author to this topic. The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper. Lastly, the author would like to thank Henk Hollmann and Thomas Gavin for proofreading this paper.

References

[Ale05] A. D. Alexandrov. *Convex polyhedra*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Translated from the 1950 Russian edition by N. S.

Dairbekov, S. S. Kutateladze and A. B. Sossinsky, With comments and bibliography by V. A. Zalgaller and appendices by L. A. Shor and Yu. A. Volkov.

[Bar02] Alexander Barvinok. *A course in convexity*, volume 54 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[Bol94] U. Bolle. On multiple tiles in E^2. In *Intuitive geometry (Szeged, 1991)*, volume 63 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 39–43. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1994.

[BR07] Matthias Beck and Sinai Robins. *Computing the continuous discretely*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2007. Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra.

[BS92] Robert G. Bartle and Donald R. Sherbert. *Introduction to real analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1992.

[GKRS13] Nick Gravin, Mihail N. Kolountzakis, Sinai Robins, and Dmitry Shiryaev. Structure results for multiple tilings in 3D. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 50(4):1033–1050, 2013.

[GRS12] Nick Gravin, Sinai Robins, and Dmitry Shiryaev. Translational tilings by a polytope, with multiplicity. *Combinatorica*, 32(6):629–649, 2012.

[Gru07] Peter M. Gruber. *Convex and discrete geometry*, volume 336 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[KM10] Mihail N Kolountzakis and Mate Matolcsi. Tilings by translation. *La Gaceta de la Real Sociedad Espanola*, 13, 2010.

[KN74] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter. *Uniform distribution of sequences*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1974. Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[Kol00] M. N. Kolountzakis. On the structure of multiple translational tilings by polygonal regions. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 23(4):537–553, 2000.

[McM80] P. McMullen. Convex bodies which tile space by translation. *Mathematika*, 27(1):113–121, 1980.

[Min97] Hermann Minkowski. Allgemeine lehrsätze über die convexen polyeder. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 1897:198–220, 1897.

[Shi14] Dmitry Shiryaev, 2014. Phd Thesis, NTU, Singapore.

[Sta91] Richard P. Stanley. A zonotope associated with graphical degree sequences. *DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 4:555–570, 1991.

[Ven54] B. A. Venkov. On a class of Euclidean polyhedra. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Ser. Mat. Fiz. Him.*, 9(2):11–31, 1954.